Evaluating Reference Customer Service with Secret Shoppers

Laura Wright

Head of Reference, Odum Library

Ginger Williams

Outreach & Reference Librarian, Odum Library

Lisa Byl

Graduate Assistant, Office of Employee & Organizational Development

Inspiration

Benjes-Small, C., Kocevar-Weidinger, E. (2011).
Secrets to successful mystery shopping: A case study. *College and Research Libraries*News 72(5), 274-276, 287.

Assessment History

- Reference Service Satisfaction Survey
- 2 week interval in fall and spring semester, time frame based on statistics collected year before

Reference Service Satisfaction Survey

- Fall & Spring
- 2 weeks
- Allows for comparison of librarian/patron viewpoints of transaction
- Customer service oriented
- Little qualitative data

Status (check one Undergradua		e 🗌 Faculty	y □ Staff □ Other
Please rate the following statements by circling a number or not applicable (N/A). (1 is strongly disagree5 is strongly agree)			
I got the informat	tion I needed.		
1	2 3	4 5	N/A
I am more confident in my ability to find information.			
1	2 3	4 5	N/A
I am satisfied with the service I received at the reference desk today.			
1	2 3	4 5	N/A
I would recommend this service to others.			
1	2 3	4 5	N/A
Status (check one			
Please rate the following statements by circling a number or not applicable (N/A). (1 is strongly disagree			
The user got the	information he o	or she needed.	
1	2 3	4 5	N/A
The user has a better understanding of how to find information.			
1	2 3	4 5	N/A
I am satisfied with this reference transaction.			
1	2 3	4 5	N/A
If you have comments, please write them on the back of this card. Please place the completed survey in the box. Thank you!!!			

Why Secret Shoppers?

- Qualitative data
- Curiosity What can we learn from it?
- Individual feedback

Preliminary Questions



- How many times/person?
- Include chat?
- Full report: all together, or PRC/librarian?
- How to recognize individual librarians?

Preliminary Questions

- Just customer service? Quality of information?
- Any impact on annual evaluations?
- Dealing with negative individual comments?
- Only reference department?

Planning: Reference Department

- Why do it?
- How to use the data?
 - Self improvement
 - Departmental training
 - Establish base-line customer service expectations
- What measures? RUSA guidelines.
- Procedure? Same survey for student workers, LTA, interns, and librarians
- Ask Office of Employee & Organizational Development to work with us

Planning: Office of Employee & Organizational Development

- Handled logistics of student recruitment, training,
 data collection
- Internship project
- Created survey questions based on RUSA guidelines
- Used sample questions from reference librarians

Planning

- Sample scripts made shoppers more comfortable
- SurveyMonkey
- Original plan to do fall only
- Survey anonymous in fall changed for spring

Sample Survey Questions

Reference Librarian Rating Form LISTENING / INQUIRING The librarian must be effective in identifying the shopper's information needs and must do so in a manner that keeps shoppers at ease. *23. Did the librarian communicate in a receptive, cordial and encouraging manner? C No *24. Did the librarian use an appropriate tone of voice? E.g. not demeaning, not too loud or harsh, not monotone, etc. T'es C No *25. Did the librarian use open-ended questions to encourage you to expand on your request? Examples include: Please tell me more about your topic; What additional information can you give me; How much information do you need? Does not apply *26. Did the librarian use closed and/or clarifying questions to refine your search? Examples include: What have you already found; What type of information do you need (books, articles, etc.); Do you need current or historical information? C Yes Does not apply *27. What did you like about the librarian's listening/inquiry? *28. What did you NOT like? *29. What could the librarian have done to make it better?

Process: Bumps in the Road

- Students shopped the wrong librarians
- One student blew his cover
- Student no-shows
- Unequal sampling → extend through spring semester

Revisions to the Process

- Spring semester:
 - Student contact information collected in survey to allow for prize drawings and follow-up by graduate student coordinator
 - Change recruitment process

Results

- Individual reports for every librarian and student
- Compiled report for all librarians and students

Individual Results: Ginger

- One big fan, one skeptic
- Skeptic hoped for a more personal connection
 - "That sounds interesting..."
 - "If you have any questions, I'm Ginger Williams, and I'll be here from [specified times]. If you can't contact me, [another librarian's name] will be here afterwards."

Group Results: Strengths

- Approachability- greeted students, made them feel comfortable
- Interest- maintaining eye contact, focused on student
- Listening/inquiring- librarians were cordial and encouraging, asked clarifying questions
- Searching- constructing a search strategy, teaching students a new technique
- Follow-up- asking if students understood everything, suggesting coming back if further assistance is needed

Group Results: Areas for Improvement

- Approachability- smiling more
- Interest- showing more curiosity about the subject, assessing familiarity with resources
- Listening/inquiring- asking more questions
- Searching- asking what students have already tried, narrowing/broadening topic as needed
- Follow-up- more personal touches, such as walking the student to the book they need

Next Steps: Implementation

- Customized Customer Service training
- Considering written customer service guidelines
- Discussion of results in reference
 - Name tags
 - Juggling multiple patrons
 - Self improvement

Things to Consider...

...when planning your Secret Shopper experience.

- Why?
- How?
 - Recruitment
- What measure?
- Challenges!

Questions?

Laura Wright lbwright@valdosta.edu

Ginger Williams ghwilliams@valdosta.edu

Lisa Byl lisajbyl@hotmail.com